

**Policy Analysis** 

# Natural gas fugitive emissions rates constrained by global atmospheric methane and ethane

Stefan Schwietzke, W. Michael Griffin, H. Scott Matthews, and Lori M. P. Bruhwiler Environ. Sci. Technol., Just Accepted Manuscript • Publication Date (Web): 19 Jun 2014

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on June 26, 2014

# **Just Accepted**

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Environmental Science & Technology is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

# Natural gas fugitive emissions rates constrained by global atmospheric methane and ethane

3	Stefan Schwietzke <sup>*,†,†</sup> , W. Michael Griffin <sup>†,‡</sup> , H. Scott Matthews <sup>†,§</sup> , Lori M. P. Bruhwiler <sup>#</sup>
4	<sup>†</sup> Department of Engineering and Public Policy, Carnegie Mellon University, Baker Hall 129,
5	5000 Forbes Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, United States
6	<sup>‡</sup> Tepper School of Business, Carnegie Mellon University, 5000 Forbes Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA
7	15213, United States
8	$^{\$}$ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Carnegie Mellon University, Porter Hall
9	123A, 5000 Forbes Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, United States
10	<sup>#</sup> NOAA Earth Systems Research Laboratory, 325 Broadway GMD1, Boulder, CO 80305,
11	United States
12	Corresponding Author
13	* Phone: (303) 497-5073. Fax: (303) 497-5590. E-mail: stefan.schwietzke@noaa.gov.
14	Abstract
15	The amount of methane emissions released by the natural gas (NG) industry is a critical and
16	uncertain value for various industry and policy decisions, such as for determining the climate
17	implications of using NG over coal. Previous studies have estimated fugitive emissions rates (FER)

18 - the fraction of produced NG (mainly methane and ethane) escaped to the atmosphere - between 19 1-9%. Most of these studies rely on few and outdated measurements, and some may represent only 20 temporal/regional NG industry snapshots. This study estimates NG industry representative FER 21 using global atmospheric methane and ethane measurements over three decades, and literature 22 ranges of (i) tracer gas atmospheric lifetimes, (ii) non-NG source estimates, and (iii) fossil fuel 23 fugitive gas hydrocarbon compositions. The modeling suggests an upper bound global average 24 FER of 5% during 2006–2011, and a most likely FER of 2-4% since 2000, trending downward. 25 These results do not account for highly uncertain natural hydrocarbon seepage, which could lower 26 the FER. Further emissions reductions by the NG industry may be needed to ensure climate 27 benefits over coal during the next few decades.

# 28 Introduction

The effectiveness of mitigating climate change using natural gas (NG) as a bridge to a renewable energy-dominated economy has been challenged by some<sup>1,2</sup>, suggesting that methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) emissions from NG systems could outweigh reduced CO<sub>2</sub> emissions compared to coal use. Other studies<sup>3-6</sup> indicate that U.S. emissions inventories underestimate CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from the oil and gas industry. The increased tapping of shale formations and other unconventional NG sources – increasing production in North America and exploration activities worldwide using new technologies – adds urgency to the problem.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency recently amended air regulations for the oil and gas industry including targets for capturing NG that currently escapes to the atmosphere<sup>7</sup>. Accurately determining CH<sub>4</sub> emissions that are representative of the NG industry is key for this and future policies, but it is also challenging due to the size and complexity of the NG industry<sup>8,9</sup>. CH<sub>4</sub> is

### **Environmental Science & Technology**

released to the atmosphere, intentionally (e.g., venting) and unintentionally (leaks), throughout the
NG life cycle, which includes extraction, processing, transport, and distribution. The magnitude
of life cycle CH<sub>4</sub> emissions is sometimes reported as the NG fugitive emissions rate (FER), defined
here as the percentage of dry production – mainly CH<sub>4</sub> – that is lost throughout its life cycle.

Most literature FER estimates were generated using bottom-up approaches, i.e., aggregating measurements and engineering estimates at different life cycle stages. Previous bottom-up studies by these<sup>10,11</sup> and other authors<sup>1,8,9</sup> showed that outdated and small sample size measurement data largely contribute to FER uncertainty. Local air sampling studies near NG production facilities complement the bottom-up studies<sup>3,4</sup>, but they only represent a regional and temporal snapshot of the larger industry. High FER of 6-9% were reported recently using both approaches<sup>1,4</sup>.

50 This work estimates global average FER with a top-down approach that uses long-term (1984-51 2011) global atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> and ethane ( $C_2H_6$ ) measurements to evaluate the representativeness 52 of previous bottom-up results. These tracer gas species are the main hydrocarbon components of NG<sup>12</sup>. Unlike CH<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> is not thought to have microbial sources<sup>13,14</sup>, so its atmospheric abundance 53 can be a useful constraint on FER. A third tracer – the carbon isotope  $\delta^{13}$ C-CH<sub>4</sub> – was employed, 54 which provides a stronger constraint for FER than CH<sub>4</sub> alone.  $\delta^{13}$ C-CH<sub>4</sub> observations<sup>15</sup> were used 55 56 to exploit the fact that the isotopic values of observed atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> are the result of the 57 magnitudes and the distinct isotopic signatures of the various CH<sub>4</sub> sources. For instance, CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from fossil fuel (FF) sources are significantly less depleted in  $\delta^{13}$ C-CH<sub>4</sub> compared to 58 microbial sources, such as wetlands<sup>16</sup>. Previous top-down studies have estimated global or national 59 60 FF CH<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> emissions<sup>5,13,17</sup> using complex 3D models of the atmosphere based on (i) *a priori* 61 knowledge of the approximate locations of different emissions, and (ii) spatially distributed 62 atmospheric measurements. However, using observations to distinguish emissions from NG, oil,

and coal is difficult due to close relative proximity of these sources<sup>6</sup>. Quantifying the NG source is necessary to estimate FER. A detailed global bottom-up oil and coal  $CH_4$  and  $C_2H_6$  emissions inventory<sup>18</sup> was developed for this study to isolate NG emissions from those associated with oil and coal.

# 67 Methods

68 Global NG CH<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> emissions and uncertainties were estimated annually over the period 69 1985-2011 using a top-down mass balance as the difference between total emissions and other 70 anthropogenic and natural sources. The mass balance model treats the global atmosphere as a 71 single box, which conserves the global mass of the emissions sources and sinks (and resulting 72 atmospheric mixing ratios), eliminating the need for complex global transport of emissions. Total 73 annual emissions ranges were based on (i) CH<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> atmospheric measurement data from NOAA's<sup>19</sup> and UC-Irvine's<sup>13</sup> global observation networks (see SI section 1 for global average 74 annual mixing ratios), respectively, (ii) literature atmospheric  $\delta^{13}$ C-CH<sub>4</sub> data<sup>15</sup>, and (iii) literature 75 76 ranges of global average atmospheric  $CH_4$  and  $C_2H_6$  lifetimes (both largely dependent on reaction with OH)<sup>20-24</sup> summarized in the following subsection. The magnitudes of the uncertain 77 78 anthropogenic and natural CH<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> sources were derived using a wide range of literature estimates (SI section 2) and the above-mentioned oil and coal inventory<sup>18</sup>. Given the resulting 79 80 annual NG CH<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> top-down estimates, FER was estimated using global NG production 81 statistics in combination with thousands of NG composition samples specifying NG CH<sub>4</sub> and  $C_2H_6$ 82 contents worldwide.

Inter-annual variability in the OH abundance and the non-FF source strength affects FER estimates in a given year. For instance, declining OH or non-FF emissions would increase FER. This study is primarily interested in the long-term FER trajectory. We therefore only accounted for inter-annual variations in the above model parameters where the literature indicates a longterm trend (such as in  $CH_4$  and  $C_2H_6$  mixing ratios shown in SI section 1). Given the lack of evidence for long-term trends in the global OH abundance<sup>25</sup> and non-FF emissions<sup>13,17</sup> (for details see SI sections 1 and 2, respectively), inter-annual variation in non-FF emissions sources was neglected.

91 Using a relatively simple model, a range of scenarios was explored in order to evaluate what 92 may be learned from the atmospheric observations, including the maximum possible global 93 average FER. Finally, mass balance FER estimates were substantiated using the existing 3D global chemistry transport model TM5<sup>26</sup> implemented in the CarbonTracker-CH<sub>4</sub> (CT-CH<sub>4</sub>) assimilation 94 95 system<sup>27</sup>. This was achieved by simulating transport of emissions throughout the global 96 atmosphere for selected FER scenarios. The resulting CH<sub>4</sub> mixing ratios were then compared with observations from the global networks<sup>13,19</sup>, thereby adding spatial information not available using 97 98 the mass balance model.

### 99 Global mass balance (box-model)

100 The global annual mass balance for  $CH_4$  and  $C_2H_6$  in year *t* was formulated as:

101

$$z_{CH4,t} = z_{CH4,t,AgW} + z_{CH4,t,Nat} + z_{CH4,t,BBM} + z_{CH4,t,Oil} + z_{CH4,t,NG} + z_{CH4,t,Coal/Ind}$$
Eq. 1,  
$$z_{C2H6,t} = z_{C2H6,t,BBE} + z_{C2H6,t,BFC} + z_{C2H6,t,Oil} + z_{C2H6,t,NG} + z_{C2H6,t,Coal}$$
Eq. 2,

where  $z_{CH4,t}$  and  $z_{C2H6,t}$  are the total annual global CH<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> emissions, respectively. The CH<sub>4</sub> emissions sources include agriculture/waste/landfills (*AgW*), natural sources (*Nat*), biomass burning methane (*BBM*), oil life cycle fugitive emissions (*Oil*; CH<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>), NG life cycle fugitive emissions (*NG*; CH<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>), and coal life cycle fugitive and "other energy and industry" emissions (*Coal/Ind*). The C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> emissions sources also include biomass burning ethane

108 (BBE; savanna and grassland fires, tropical and extratropical forest fires, agricultural residue 109 burning), biomass fuel combustion (*BFC*), and coal life cycle  $C_2H_6$  emissions (*Coal*; see below for 110 "other energy and industry" C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> emissions). The literature-based CH<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> emissions ranges 111 are summarized in the Methods subsections (non-FFs and FFs) below. The system boundaries for 112 the CH<sub>4</sub> sources vary slightly among studies, but are largely consistent with those described for 113 modeling with TM5 (SI Table S1). Mass balances were solved for  $z_{CH4,t,NG}$  and  $z_{C2H6,t,NG}$ 114 independently using the ranges for all other source categories. The annual emissions  $z_{CH4,t}$  were estimated using Eq. 4, which is the solution to differential Eq. 3, giving  $z_{CH4,t}$ . The annual emissions 115 116  $z_{C2H6,t}$  were estimated using Eq. 5:

117

$$dC_{CH4}/dt = z_{CH4,t} - 1/\tau * C_{CH4,t}$$
 Eq. 3.

√−1

$$z_{CH4,t} = \left(C_{CH4,t} - C_{CH4,t-1} * e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}}\right) * \left(\tau * \left(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{\tau}}\right)\right)^{-1}$$
Eq. 4,

$$z_{C2H6,t} = C_{C2H6,t} * SF_{C2H6}$$
 Eq. 5,

118

where  $C_{CH4,t}$  is the annually observed global average CH<sub>4</sub> dry air mole fraction (in ppb) in year t 119 multiplied by the conversion factor 2.767 Tg  $CH_4/ppb^{28}$  in order to convert mole fractions to mass 120 121 units for the global atmosphere (see SI section 1 for details). For the global average atmospheric 122 lifetime of CH<sub>4</sub>,  $\tau$ , a range of 9.1-9.7 years was chosen, which includes the mean values from four recent studies<sup>20–23</sup>. The scaling factor *SF*<sub>C2H6</sub> converts the annually observed global average  $C_2H_6$ 123 124 dry air mole fraction  $C_{C2H6,t}$  into the annual emissions burden  $Z_{C2H6,t}$ , which is based on 3Dmodeling<sup>24</sup> and has been applied recently elsewhere<sup>13</sup>. Given uncertainties of up to 45% due to the 125 reaction rate with and mixing ratios of  $OH^{24}$ , the average and upper bound values of SF<sub>C2H6</sub> 126 (corresponding to a higher global budget for estimating upper bound FER), 0.018 and 0.026 Tg 127 128  $C_2H_6/ppt$ , respectively, were used.

129

# **Environmental Science & Technology**

The global mass balance using atmospheric  $\delta^{13}$ C-CH<sub>4</sub> measurements constrains FER based on

130	the fact that the various CH <sub>4</sub> sources carry distinct isotopic CH <sub>4</sub> signatures. The <sup>13</sup> C: <sup>12</sup> C ratio of
131	CH <sub>4</sub> , $\delta$ (in ‰), can be expressed as <sup>29</sup> :
132	
	$\delta = (R_{Sample}/R_{Standard} - 1) * 1000 $ Eq. 6,
133	
134	where $R = (Rare isotope / Abundant isotope)$ . The global mass balance for three CH <sub>4</sub> source
135	categories can be formulated for each year as <sup>16</sup> :
136	
	$z_{CH4,t} = z_{Mic,t} + z_{FF,t} + z_{BBM,t} $ Eq. 7,
	$\delta_q z_{CH4,t} = \delta_{Mic} * z_{Mic,t} + \delta_{FF} * z_{FF,t} + \delta_{BBM} * z_{BBM,t} $ Eq. 8,
137	
138	where <i>ZMic,t</i> , <i>ZFF,t</i> , and <i>ZBBM,t</i> refer to the microbial, FF, and BBM fraction of total annual CH <sub>4</sub>
139	emissions, respectively, and $z_{Mic,t}$ includes all natural and agriculture/waste/landfills sources. The
140	different CH <sub>4</sub> emissions sources are aggregated to only three emissions categories in order to avoid
141	an under-constrained system of two linear equations (Eq. 7 and Eq. 8). The equation system is
142	solved for $z_{Mic,t}$ and $z_{FF,t}$ as an optimization problem (Eq. 10 through Eq. 15), and $z_{BBM,t}$ is
143	considered at least 25 Tg CH <sub>4</sub> /yr (see literature review in SI section 2). The literature provides
144	wide ranges of source- (and geography-) specific isotopic signatures. For instance, Finnish
145	subarctic wetlands range between $-65 \ \text{\sc math $\infty$}$ and $-69 \ \text{\sc math $\infty$}^{30}$ compared to $-51 \ \text{\sc math $\infty$}$ and $-53 \ \text{\sc math $\infty$}$ from
146	landfills <sup>16</sup> . West Siberian NG associated with oil production (high CH <sub>4</sub> content) has been measured
147	around -50 ‰ <sup>30</sup> , whereas mature dry gas can range approximately -20 ‰ <sup>31</sup> . The isotopic signatures
148	$\delta_{Mic}$ , $\delta_{FF}$ , and $\delta_{BBM}$ in this model are based on weighted averages of each emissions category from
149	13 literature sources <sup>16</sup> , and lie within the range of $-59$ to $-63$ ‰, $-38$ to $-42$ ‰, and $-22$ to $-26$

7

150 %, respectively. The total annual CH<sub>4</sub> emissions burden  $z_{CH4,t}$  is the same as in Eq. 4, and the flux 151 weighted mean isotopic ratio of all CH<sub>4</sub> sources<sup>29</sup> is:

$$\delta_{q,t} = \alpha \delta_a + \varepsilon - \frac{\varepsilon (1 + \delta_a/1000)}{z_{CH4,t}} * \frac{dC_{CH4,t}}{dt} + \frac{d\delta_a}{dt} * \frac{C_{CH4,t}}{z_{CH4,t}}$$
 Eq. 9

153

where  $\alpha = (1 + \varepsilon / 1000)$  is the isotopic fractionation factor associated with photochemical CH<sub>4</sub> destruction, for which  $\varepsilon = -6.3 \%^{16}$ . As described in more detail in SI section 1, the global annual means of measured  $\delta_a$  range between -47.0 ‰ and -47.3 ‰ throughout 1988–2011<sup>15,32,33</sup>. Given (i) the lack of pre–1988 data, (ii) the reliance on unpublished post-2006 data<sup>32</sup>, (iii) and the low sensitivity of the above  $\delta_a$  range on FER (see SI section 3.1), this model assumes a constant  $\delta_a$  of -47.1 ‰. Eq. 7 and Eq. 8 were re-arranged to give:

160

$$z_{FF,t} = \frac{\delta_{q,t} * z_{CH4,t} - \delta_{Mic} * (z_{CH4,t} - z_{BBM,t}) - \delta_{BBM} * z_{BBM,t}}{\delta_{FF} - \delta_{Mic}}$$
Eq. 10,

$$z_{Mic,t} = z_{CH4,t} - z_{FF,t} - z_{BBM,t}$$
 Eq. 11,

161

162 where units for  $z_{CH4,t}$  and  $\delta$  are Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr and ‰, respectively. The optimization problem is to 163 minimize Eq. 10, such that:

### 164

$$z_{BBM,t} \ge 25$$
 Eq. 12,

$$-59 \ge \delta_{Mic} \ge -63$$
 Eq. 13

$$-38 \ge \delta_{FF} \ge -42$$
 Eq. 14

$$-22 \ge \delta_{BBM} \ge -26$$
 Eq. 15

165

Eq. 12 ensures that there are only two unknowns in the problem of two linear equations. CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from NG  $z_{C13CH4,t,NG}$  (based on isotope observations) are the difference between FF emissions from the isotope mass balance and coal/oil emissions, which are described in more detail below:

$$z_{C13CH4,t,NG} = z_{FF,t} - z_{CH4,t,Coal/Ind} - z_{CH4,t,Oil}$$
 Eq. 16.

172 Finally, FER is estimated using Eq. 17 through Eq. 19:

173

$$FER_{CH4,t} = z_{CH4,t,NG} / (P_{dry,t} * WF_{down,CH4,t})$$
Eq. 17.

$$FER_{C2H6,t} = z_{C2H6,t,NG} / (P_{dry,t} * WF_{down,C2H6,t})$$
 Eq. 18,

$$FER_{C13CH4,t} = z_{C13CH4,t,NG} / (P_{dry,t} * WF_{down,CH4,t})$$
 Eq. 19,

174

where  $P_{dry,t}$  is the global dry production of NG<sup>34</sup> converted from volume to weight units (see our bottom-up inventory<sup>18</sup> for details), and  $WF_{down,CH4,t}$  and  $WF_{down,C2H6,t}$  are the downstream NG weight fractions of CH<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, respectively.

### 178 Global 3D model

Three-dimensional forward simulations of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions using the global chemistry transport model TM5<sup>26</sup> complement the box-model approach. Forward simulations in this work cover the period 1989-2011, and measurements are the same as used for the box-model. The following five different zones were distinguished in order to analyze the spatial differences ignored in the boxmodel: polar Northern Hemisphere (PNH, 53.1°N-90°N), temperate Northern Hemisphere (TNH, 17.5°N-53.1°N), the tropics (17.5°S-17.5°N), temperate Southern Hemisphere (TSH, 17.5°S-53.1°S), and polar Southern Hemisphere (PSH, 53.1°S-90°S). These zones are pre-defined in CT-

186 CH4<sup>27</sup>, and briefly discussed in SI section 3.2. Emissions were simulated for 11 individual CH4 187 source/sink categories including NG, oil, coal/industry, wetlands, soils, oceans, termites, wild 188 animals, agriculture/waste/landfills, and biomass burning methane (all as described above). 189 Emissions were simulated for each source separately, which allows tracking the individual 190 contributions of total CH<sub>4</sub> mixing ratios. Estimating source-specific contributions is key for 191 analyzing the underlying causes of potential spatial differences between simulations and 192 observations. These spatial differences mainly occur because the various sources emit in specific 193 world regions, which helps to distinguish emissions sources using the measurements from the 194 global monitoring networks.

## 195 Model values of non-fossil fuel emissions categories based on literature review

196 This section describes the range of non-NG CH<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> emissions values chosen as inputs in 197 the box-model (Eq. 1, Eq. 2, Eq. 10, and Eq. 11) and the 3D-model. Non-FF CH<sub>4</sub> emissions ranges were selected based on five of the most recent inversion studies<sup>27,35-38</sup> and two literature 198 reviews<sup>39,40</sup>, which is described in more detail in the SI (section 2), and summarized in Table 1. In 199 200 the box-model, most likely FER assumes total non-FF CH<sub>4</sub> sources of 400 Tg/yr (medium non-FF 201 scenario), and upper bound FER is associated with non-FF CH<sub>4</sub> sources of 265 Tg/yr (low non-FF 202 scenario). The corresponding medium and low scenario C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> estimates are 5.9 Tg/yr and 2.2 203 Tg/yr, respectively. High CH<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> scenarios were selected such that low and high values 204 represent a normal distribution around the medium values. Three-dimensional forward simulations 205 were carried out with TM5 for 8 individual non-FF CH<sub>4</sub> source/sink categories (totaling on average 206 385 Tg/yr). The global soil CH<sub>4</sub> sinks used in both models cover the range of literature values: 25 Tg/yr<sup>36</sup>, 30 Tg/yr<sup>35</sup>, and 38 Tg/yr<sup>38</sup>. The total non-FF emissions in the 3D simulation and in the 207 208 medium box-model scenario are very similar (difference is ~3% of global CH<sub>4</sub> budget), which 209 allows direct comparison of box-model results with the 3D-model (the same oil and coal estimates

- 210 were used in both models).
- 211
- 212 **Table 1:** Summary of global non-FF emissions estimates used in 3D-forward-modeling (TM5)
- 213 and ranges for box-modeling. Units are Tg/yr.

	CH4					C2H6		
	Natural	Ag/waste/ landfills	BBM	Soil sink <sup>i</sup>	Total	BBE	BFC	Total
Box-model								
(const. over time <sup>ii</sup> )								
low	130	130	25	-25	260	1.6	0.6	2.2
medium	182	200	43	-25	400	3.6	2.3	5.9
high	235	270	60	-25	540	5.2	4.0	9.2
3D-model								
(avg. 1980-2011)	215 <sup>iii</sup>	194 <sup>iv</sup>	16 <sup>v</sup>	-40	385	n/a	n/a	n/a

Notes: Ag – Agriculture; BBM - Biomass burning methane; BBE - Biomass burning ethane; BFC - Biomass fuel combustion; <sup>i</sup> Box-model data from<sup>17</sup>, 3D-model data from<sup>41</sup>; <sup>ii</sup> Inter-annual variations during 1980-2011 were ignored due to lack of long-term trends in OH and non-FF sources, and focus on long-term FER trajectory (see also text above); <sup>iii</sup> Annual emissions and seasonal cycle from<sup>42</sup>; <sup>iv</sup> Annual emissions from<sup>43</sup>, seasonal cycle from<sup>44</sup>; <sup>v</sup> Annual emissions from<sup>45,46</sup>, seasonal cycle from<sup>47</sup>.

220

# 221 Model values of fossil fuel emissions categories from bottom-up inventory

This section briefly summarizes the methods and data used to estimate  $CH_4$  and  $C_2H_6$  emissions from oil and coal production, processing and transport (in Eq. 1 and Eq. 2) as well as downstream NG composition (Eq. 17 through Eq. 19) applied in the box-model and the 3D-model. This summary is based on a global bottom-up FF inventory developed by these authors<sup>18</sup>. Here, only the general methodology and major parameters are reviewed. The inventory is based on countrylevel NG, oil, and coal production data<sup>34</sup>, a range of literature emissions factors (EFs, see below for literature sources), and observational gas flaring data<sup>48,49</sup>. EFs describe the amount of hydrocarbon gas emitted to the atmosphere per unit of fuel produced, and EFs are the basis for comparing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions among different fuels or technologies in life cycle assessment. The inventory also includes hydrocarbon composition data from thousands of samples including NG and oil wells, both of which produce NG and oil<sup>12</sup>. The hydrocarbon composition data is necessary for deriving FER from estimated total amounts of global NG CH<sub>4</sub> ( $z_{CH4,t,NG}$ ) and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> ( $z_{C2H6,t,NG}$ ) emissions.

Emissions factors (EF) related to the oil life cycle were reviewed from four studies 50-53, which 235 236 span an order of magnitude. The EFs include fugitive emissions from oil production, processing, 237 and shipping as well as hydrocarbon emissions from incompletely flared gas. The EF selected from these studies<sup>51</sup> is 50% below the mean of the lowest<sup>52,53</sup> and highest<sup>50</sup> literature EF. This selection 238 239 assures that the upper bound FER from the box-model is a conservative estimate, i.e., box-model 240 FER could be lower if oil emissions were in fact higher. Emissions from marketed (i.e., not 241 flared/vented or repressured) associated NG production at oil wells are counted towards FER. The 242 detailed procedure for allocating emissions between oil and NG production is described in the bottom-up inventory<sup>18</sup>. Country-specific EFs related to the coal life cycle<sup>50,54–56</sup> distinguish 243 244 different types of coal production. Comparison of different global coal production estimates (and 245 Chinese coal production in particular) suggests that the total emissions estimate in the inventory 246 may be an underestimate. Thus, analogously to the oil emissions estimates above, FER could be 247 lower than box-model results if coal emissions were in fact higher.

Table 2 summarizes the results from the bottom-up inventory<sup>18</sup> including oil and coal CH<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> emissions over different time periods as well as global average downstream NG hydrocarbon composition (related to dry production statistics). Medium oil CH<sub>4</sub> emissions increase from 14 Tg/yr (mean during 1985-1999) to 17 Tg/yr (mean during 2006-2011), and medium coal/industry

252	$CH_4$ emissions increase from 48 Tg/yr to 61 Tg/yr over the same periods. Medium oil $C_2H_6$
253	emissions increase from 5.5 Tg/yr to 6.6 Tg/yr over the same periods, and coal/industry $C_2H_6$
254	emissions are relatively small given the low coal-bed gas C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> content <sup>18</sup> . Downstream NG CH <sub>4</sub>
255	and C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> contents averaged throughout 1984-2011 range from 85-87 wt-% and 7.2-7.7 wt-%,
256	respectively, while C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> content decreased from 7.8–6.8 wt-% over this period due to increased
257	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> extraction for NG liquids <sup>18</sup> .

258

**Table 2:** Summary of oil and coal/industry CH<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> emissions, and downstream NG

260	composition	in the	bottom-up	inventorv <sup>18</sup>	ļ
200	composition	in the	bottom up	m ventor y	

		Units		CH <sub>4</sub>			C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> <sup>i</sup>	
			1985- 1999	2000- 2005	2006- 2011	1985- 1999	2000- 2005	2006- 2011
Emissions								
	low		5	6	6	4.4	5.0	5.2
Oil	medium		14	16	17	5.5	6.3	6.6
	high	<b>T</b> = /	41	48	51	7.6	8.8	9.2
	low	Ig/yr	43	44	55	0.0	0.0	0.0
Coal/Industry	medium		48	49	61	0.3	0.3	0.4
-	high		56	57	71	0.6	0.6	0.8
Composition								
-	low			85			7.2	
Downstream NG <sup>ii</sup>	medium	wt-%		86			7.4	
	high			87			77	

Notes: <sup>i</sup> Ranges of oil and coal/industry C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> emissions are due to uncertainties in C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> content of fugitive hydrocarbon emissions. <sup>ii</sup> Downstream NG composition was estimated for use with dry production statistics (shows averages over 1985-2011) to estimate life cycle FER (as described above). Results are based on a mass balance of upstream NG, downstream NG, and natural gas liquids at the processing stage (see box-model Methods). Low and high values represent 95%-C.I.

Industry (public power and heat, other energy industries, transportation, residential and other sectors, industrial processes, FF fires) emissions were adopted from EDGAR v4.2<sup>43</sup>. C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> emissions estimates from this source were unavailable, and were not accounted for in the boxmodel. FER could in fact be lower than box-model results if industry is a significant C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> source. 271 Three different FER scenarios (ranging from 2-6% FER; see SI for details) were simulated in TM5

to analyze which FER is most consistent with spatially distributed observations.

### 273 Spatial distribution of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions

274 Spatial CH<sub>4</sub> emissions grid maps were developed in order to perform 3D simulations of the 275 global atmosphere in TM5. A detailed description of the grid map development as well as the results is provided in the bottom-up inventory<sup>18</sup>, and briefly summarized here. The spatial 276 distribution of FF emissions within each country was adopted from EDGAR v4.2<sup>43</sup>, which is based 277 278 on population density and other proxies. The absolute FF emissions in the grid maps were scaled 279 based on the FF estimates summarized in the previous subsection. Due to the emissions differences 280 between this work and EDGAR for a given country, the spatial distribution of the scaled grid maps 281 differs from EDGAR on a global scale, but not within individual countries. In contrast to FF, other 282 source categories have a distinct seasonal emissions cycle. EDGAR's agriculture/waste/landfills 283 category annual emissions grid maps were decomposed into monthly grid maps, and scaled to a 284 seasonal cycle as defined in SI Table S1. Agriculture/waste/landfills annual totals were linearly 285 extrapolated from 2008 (last year in EDGAR) to 2011 using the last 10 years available in EDGAR. Literature spatial CH<sub>4</sub> emissions distribution was adapted for natural<sup>57,58</sup> and BBM<sup>47</sup> categories. 286

# 287 **Results**

Global average FER from the NG life cycle was estimated in a top-down approach to better understand industry representative CH<sub>4</sub> emissions. This study is based on global spatially distributed CH<sub>4</sub>,  $\delta^{13}$ C-CH<sub>4</sub>, and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> measurements over three decades. A global box-model was developed and an existing 3D emissions transport model was used to attribute total emissions to different sources, thereby taking into account uncertainties in atmospheric lifetimes of measuredspecies as well as non-NG source estimates.

### 294 Global box-model

295 The most likely global FER of 2-4% on average during 2004-2011 (Figure 1) is consistent for 296 CH<sub>4</sub>,  $\delta^{13}$ C-CH<sub>4</sub>, and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> observations. These estimates assume (i) mean literature emissions 297 values for each of the other source categories listed above, and (ii) global total oil and coal CH4 298 emissions from this study's emissions inventory (medium values in Table 2), which agree well (2.5% difference) with EDGAR<sup>43</sup>, i.e., the commonly used a priori FF database in global top-299 300 down CH<sub>4</sub> modeling. The upper bound global FER averaged over the last five years of observations 301 is 5.0% (4.4% in 2011) based on  $C_2H_6$  observations (Figure 1). The upper bound assumes (i) a 302  $C_{2}H_{6}$  lifetime corresponding to the largest global average sink in the literature, (ii) a lower bound 303 FF C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> content (Table 2), and (iii) a lower bound BBE/BFC C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> source estimate (Table 1). 304 Details of the budgetary implications of the upper bound FER relative to the literature are 305 illustrated in SI Figure S8. Results indicate upper bound FER of  $\sim 6\%$  in the early 2000s, mainly 306 due to lower FF production compared to later years. Note that FER peaks shown for some years in Figure 1 are likely due to inter-annual variation in natural sources<sup>13</sup>. Our upper bound throughout 307 308 1985-1999 is on average 9.3% (SI Figure S7). This temporal decline in FER is consistent with earlier work suggesting a decrease in FF C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> emissions<sup>13,14</sup>. Emissions reductions per unit of 309 310 production (FER) in this work imply industry efficiency improvements, although the decline 311 would be less steep if coal and oil EFs also declined over time (increased oil and coal production 312 over time are accounted for). Global average CH<sub>4</sub> and  $\delta^{13}$ C-CH<sub>4</sub> data provide weaker constraints 313 for upper bound FER, mainly due to literature source estimate uncertainties. Assuming lower 314 bound estimates for natural, agriculture/waste/landfills, and BBM sources simultaneously would

lead to FER of 8% or higher averaged during 2004-2011 (SI Figure S5). Yet, Figure 1 shows that

316 such high FER is inconsistent with the  $C_2H_6$  data.



318



319 Figure 1: Summary of possible global NG fugitive emissions rates (FER) – in % of dry production 320 - based on a global mass balance using different tracer gases. The upper bound represents a 321 combination of assumptions from the literature including high global emissions (totaling 16.2 Tg C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>/yr on average since 2000 using UC-Irvine observations<sup>13</sup> and Rudolph<sup>24</sup> C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> lifetime 322 323 uncertainty) and low magnitude of other  $C_2H_6$  sources (7.4 Tg  $C_2H_6$ /yr on average since 2000). 324 The orange and blue bands mark the range for CH<sub>4</sub> lifetimes between 9.1-9.7 years and mean literature values of other CH<sub>4</sub> sources (totaling 467 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr on average since 2000 including 325 soil sink) using NOAA observations<sup>19</sup>. FER is shown for the longest consecutive observation time 326 327 series available (pre-1996 data are shown in SI Figures S5, S7).

### **Environmental Science & Technology**

Natural hydrocarbon seepage may be an additional significant source of atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> not currently accounted for in most top-down studies<sup>17</sup>. Visible macro-seeps, marine seepage, micro-seepage, and geothermal/volcanic areas may contribute between 40-60 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr and 2-4 Tg C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>/yr globally<sup>59</sup>. While not included in Figure 1, adding 40 Tg CH<sub>4</sub>/yr and 2 Tg C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>/yr in the model would reduce FER by about two percentage points (constant over time). The magnitude of the above seepage estimates have been challenged<sup>13</sup>. Yet, having excluded any seepage in our main results (Figure 1) emphasizes that our FER may be overestimated.

335 The decline in global FER is 0.1 and 0.3 percentage points per year since 1985 based on most likely (CH<sub>4</sub> and  $\delta^{13}$ C-CH<sub>4</sub> observations) and upper bound results (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> observations), 336 respectively. This assumes that the declines in measured C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> levels (or CH<sub>4</sub> growth rates<sup>60</sup>) are 337 attributed to NG emissions reductions. Kirschke et al.<sup>17</sup> find little if any long-term natural, 338 agriculture/waste/landfill, and BBM emissions reductions over this period. Kirschke et al.<sup>17</sup> 339 340 results, along with the findings presented here, suggest that the declines in measured mixing ratios 341 (or growth rates thereof) can be attributed to NG emissions reductions. This is also consistent with recent top-down C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> studies<sup>13,14</sup> suggesting reductions in total FF emissions where Aydin et al.<sup>14</sup> 342 343 concluded that global declines in the C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> mixing ratios were due to decreased flaring and venting 344 of NG (see also SI Figure S8). Also, recent direct CH<sub>4</sub> measurements at 190 NG production sites in the U.S. by Allen *et al.*<sup>8</sup> indicate lower overall CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from production (well pad) 345 activities than previous measurement data used in EPA's 2013 GHG inventory<sup>51</sup>. Note that 346 increased NG, oil, and coal production over time<sup>61</sup> was incorporated in the modeling presented 347 348 here. The FER decline may be less pronounced if oil and coal emissions per unit of production 349 also decreased since 1985. Atmospheric chemistry may also explain changes in CH<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> mixing ratios. However, Montzka et al.<sup>62</sup> recently found a small inter-annual atmospheric OH 350

17

variability of  $2.3 \pm 1.5\%$  during 1998–2007, which suggests that increased sink strength is an unlikely alternative explanation for declining FER.

### 353 Global 3D-model

354 Most-likely FER estimates from the mass balance are supported by the global chemistry transport model TM5<sup>26</sup> and the spatial distribution of CH<sub>4</sub> mixing ratios as an indicator of source 355 356 strength<sup>63</sup>. Using three different FER scenarios ranging from about 2-6% FER (see SI Table S4 357 and Figure S9), the TM5 was used to simulate spatially distributed CH<sub>4</sub> sources and sinks from 358 1989-2011. As shown in Figure 2a, the medium FER scenario is a reasonable fit globally 359 throughout the 1990s (3-4% FER) compared to 3% and 5% in the box-model (SI Figure S5) for 360 CH<sub>4</sub> lifetimes ( $\tau$ ) of 9.7 and 9.1, respectively. In the 2000s, TM5 suggests a most likely FER of 361  $\sim$ 3% dropping to just over 2% in 2010 compared to 2-4% in the box-model depending on  $\tau$ . Given 362 that  $\tau$  used in TM5 is approximately 9.45, most likely estimates of both models agree within one 363 percentage point FER.

364 The following spatial analysis is useful for investigating whether the *a priori* emissions source 365 attribution (Tables 1 and 2) is reasonable, or if – for instance – underestimated FER scenarios were 366 compensated by overestimated other source categories. Simulations and measurements across 41 367 latitudinal bands (intervals of 0.05 sine of latitude) are shown in Figure 2b as an indicator of the 368 inter-hemispheric gradient (for year 2000; see SI Figure S10 for additional years). The spatial fit 369 of simulations and measurements can be used as a proxy for the attribution of sources. About 96% 370 of NG CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in the emissions grid maps simulated with TM5 are released in the Northern 371 Hemisphere. The equivalent CH<sub>4</sub> emissions values in the Northern Hemisphere for oil, coal, 372 agriculture/waste/landfills, and natural sources are 91%, 88%, 82%, and 54%, respectively. The 373 observed difference between the most Southern (90°S-72°S) and Northern (72°N-90°N) latitudinal band is 134 ppb (7.6% of the global average CH<sub>4</sub> mixing ratio) compared to 177 ppb (10.1%) in
the simulation (medium FER scenario) averaged over 1990-2010, which is qualitatively consistent
with previous studies<sup>35,64</sup>. This small North-South (N-S) gradient mismatch between observations
and simulation suggests that the simulated CH<sub>4</sub> estimates for each source category could be
plausible.





380

Figure 2: TM5 global average forward modeling results for three regionally and temporally distinct FER scenarios (see SI Table S4 and Figure S9) as well as NOAA's measurements<sup>19</sup>. (a) Global average dry air mole fractions; see<sup>65,66</sup> for estimating global averages from spatial distributions. (b) CH<sub>4</sub> dry air mole fractions across 41 latitudinal bands in year 2000 (see SI Figure S10 for additional years).

The inter-hemispheric gradient indicates that total emissions in the medium FER scenario (best global fit in 2000; see Figure 2a) are too high in the North and too low in the South (relative to the simulated *a priori* dataset). Also, the simulated inter-hemispheric gradient is significantly higher than the observation in all FER scenarios. Because (i) reducing FER alone is not sufficient to match the observed inter-hemispheric gradient, and (ii) coal and oil CH<sub>4</sub> totals are considered a low estimate (i.e., Northern emissions could be even higher), misallocation of non-FF CH<sub>4</sub> emissions across hemispheres must at least partially explain the N-S mismatch. This is consistent with previous atmospheric inversions, which tend to reduce high latitudinal sources compensated by increases at lower latitudes<sup>35,64</sup>. Tropical wetlands may be underestimated in particular<sup>35</sup>. Further evidence is provided in the SI (section 3.2), which illustrates that NG (or other FFs) are unlikely causes of the N-S mismatch between simulations and observations. Instead, seasonal observations suggest that wetlands (a reduction in the North and an increase in the South) and/or agriculture/waste/landfills (an increase in the North) were biased in the *a priori* estimates.

# 399 Influence of FER on life cycle GHG emissions of power generation compared with coal

400 The life cycle GHG emissions from power generation are frequently estimated to assess the feasibility of replacing coal with NG to mitigate climate change<sup>10,11,67–69</sup>. Note, however, that other 401 comparisons, e.g., use as a transportation fuel<sup>70</sup>, are also policy-relevant. Corresponding to 402 403 previous work<sup>10,11,67–69</sup>, this study estimates the climate implications of NG in terms of CO<sub>2</sub>-404 equivalent (CO<sub>2</sub>e) emissions per unit of generated electricity. This metric accounts for the 405 differences in cumulative radiative forcing of  $CH_4$  relative to  $CO_2$  over a given period – commonly 406 100 and 20 years – using global warming potentials (GWP)<sup>71</sup>. Figure 3 compares total life cycle 407 GHG emissions of power generation from coal and NG assuming 39% and 50% efficiency, 408 respectively. Given a GWP of 28 (100-yr period), and assuming 3% FER (i.e., the mean value of 409 the most likely FER range since 2000 from this study), total NG emissions are about 39% lower 410 than coal. After including climate-carbon feedbacks (CC FB), which account for the impact of the 411 GHGs on other gaseous and aerosol forcing species<sup>71</sup>, this value decreases to 36% (GWP 34). The 412 FER would need to be 10% (excluding CC FB; 8.5% FER including CC FB) in order to reach the 413 same total emissions as coal (break-even point). However, over a 20-yr period, NG already breaks 414 even with coal at 3.4% FER, thus well within the most likely FER range in this study. Results for GWP 84 (20-yr, no CC FB) are not shown in Figure 3 because differences are negligibly small
(3.5% break-even FER). Note that this coal-NG comparison excludes potential direct climate
effects from non-GHG climate forcers, such as sulfate aerosols from coal combustion, which may
have a cooling effect<sup>2</sup>.



Figure 3: Comparison of life cycle GHG emissions of power generation from coal and NG assuming 39% and 50% conversion efficiency, respectively. Literature estimates for coal<sup>1,2,11</sup> and NG<sup>1,2,10,72</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> were used. Yellow and red columns assume 3% FER (mean value of most likely FER range since 2000 from this study) and break-even FER (required to match coal emissions), respectively, using 48 g CO<sub>2</sub>e/kWh per percentage point FER from<sup>68</sup>. NG is shown for three

425 different global warming potentials (GWP; see text). Coal is shown for GWP 28 only because CH<sub>4</sub>

426 contributes only 5% to total emissions. NG error bars include CO<sub>2</sub> only. Coal error bars pertain to

427 combined uncertainty in CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions. CC FB: climate-carbon feedbacks (see text).

428 **Discussion** 

429 The objective of this top-down study was to estimate global average FER related to the NG life cycle in order to better understand whether recently reported high FER of 6-9%<sup>1,4</sup> are 430 431 representative of the larger NG industry. Using a global box-model and well-known quantities of 432 global average atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub>,  $\delta^{13}$ C-CH<sub>4</sub>, and C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> mixing ratios, the most likely FER was 433 found to be 2-4% since 2000, and currently (2006-2011) having an upper bound FER of 5%. Both 434 results are potentially overestimated because these estimates exclude highly uncertain emissions 435 from natural hydrocarbon seepage. Taking into account increasing NG (and other FF) production, 436 the FER (in % of dry production) has been declining steadily over time.

437 The box-model results (most likely FER of 2-4% since 2000) are consistent with those from 3D 438 modeling. The low magnitude of the difference in the inter-hemispheric gradient between 439 simulations and measurements (less than 5% of the global budget) indicates a minor bias in the simulated emissions sources. The inter-hemispheric gradient and seasonal comparisons show that 440 441 an improved spatial emissions allocation includes (i) an emissions transfer from Northern to 442 Southern wetland emissions and/or (ii) increased Northern agriculture/waste/landfills emissions in 443 combination with FER lower than 2-4%. Thus neither the inter-hemispheric gradient nor the 444 seasonal comparisons suggest that a global average FER of 2-4% over the period 2000-2011 is too 445 low. This conclusion is subject to potential imprecision of the TM5 emissions transport model, 446 which may lead to uncertainties in the simulated spatial allocation of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions. However, 447 this is unlikely given the independent  $C_2H_6$  based box-model upper bound FER of 5%.

448 The study results lead to both research recommendations and policy implications. A more formal 449 uncertainty analysis of key parameters (atmospheric lifetimes, natural emissions and NG 450 composition) would provide a more detailed characterization of FER uncertainties. This requires 451 composition data by well type (NG, oil) that are not currently available at this level of detail. 452 Policies aimed at providing such data, e.g., publishing international well sample data collected 453 from the oil and gas industry in a central database, would improve the accuracy of FER estimates. 454 The most likely global FER range (2-4%) is slightly higher than many recent bottom-up estimates (1.1-3.2%; full life cycle) in the U.S. and elsewhere<sup>10,51,68,73</sup>; however, potentially 455 456 unaccounted natural seepage could reduce our estimate. Our most recent (2011) global upper bound of 4.4% FER suggests that two recent high estimates of 6-9% in the U.S.<sup>1,4</sup> may be possible 457 458 at individual sites, but do not appear representative of the national average unless U.S. NG industry 459 practices are significantly worse than in the rest of the world. When used for power generation, 460 combined NG CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions break even with coal at 8.6% FER using a 100-year CH<sub>4</sub> 461 GWP (including CC FB), but the break-even is only 3.4% over 20 years (Figure 3). Thus, despite 462 our relatively low FER estimates, policies to further reduce fugitive emissions appear justified. 463 Shale gas production was too small globally (increasing from 1.5% of global production in 2007 to 5.9% in 2011<sup>61</sup>) to yield a signal *even if* FER from shale gas is higher than from conventional 464 465 NG. However, few bottom-up studies indicate significantly higher FER from shale compared to conventional gas<sup>68</sup>. Local and regional top-down studies using field measurements can 466 467 complement global modeling. These may provide more basin specific FER estimates unattainable 468 with the current global observational network. The NG industry average FER estimates from this 469 work can be used as a reference, and basin specific studies may point to areas with local or regional 470 hot spots.

23

471

472 Supporting Information. Literature review of simulated non-FF emissions, observational data 473 description, additional box-model and 3D-model results, and comparison of GHG emissions 474 impacts from NG and coal power generation using global warming potentials. This material is 475 available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

# 476 **Present Addresses**

<sup>477</sup> NOAA Earth Systems Research Laboratory, 325 Broadway GMD1, Boulder, CO 80305, United
<sup>478</sup> States

# 479 Author Contributions

480 S.S. was responsible for study design, development of box-model and emissions inventory, 481 analysis of 3D-model results, and manuscript preparation. W.M.G. and H.S.M. helped with study 482 design, model analysis, and improved the manuscript. L.B. did 3D-modeling, helped with model 483 analysis, and improved the manuscript. All authors have given approval to the final version of the 484 manuscript.

# 485 **Funding Sources**

Climate and Energy Decision Making (CEDM) center through a cooperative agreement between
the National Science Foundation (SES-0949710) and Carnegie Mellon University; ERM
Foundation-North America Sustainability Fellowship.

# 489 Acknowledgment

We thank Ed Dlugokencky and John B. Miller for valuable comments and discussions. The long-term ethane data are from the UC Irvine global monitoring network

(http://cdiac.ornl.gov/trends/otheratg/blake/blake.html). This research was made possible through
support from the Climate and Energy Decision Making (CEDM) center. This Center has been
created through a cooperative agreement between the National Science Foundation (SES0949710) and Carnegie Mellon University. The ERM Foundation-North America Sustainability
Fellowship has provided additional funding.

# 497 Abbreviations

- 498 CH<sub>4</sub>, methane; C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, ethane; EF, emissions factor; FER, fugitive emissions rate (% of dry
- 499 production of NG); FF, fossil fuels (natural gas, oil, coal); GWP, global warming potential; NG,
- 500 natural gas.

# 501 References

- 502 1. Howarth, R. W., Santoro, R. & Ingraffea, A. Clim. Change 106, 679–690 (2011).
- 503 2. Wigley, T. M. L. *Clim. Change* **108**, 601–608 (2011).
- 504 3. Pétron, G. et al. J. Geophys. Res. 117, D04304 (2012).
- 505 4. Karion, A. et al. Geophys. Res. Lett. 40, 4393–4397 (2013).
- 506 5. Miller, S. M. et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 110, 20018–20022 (2013).
- 507 6. Brandt, A. R. *et al. Sci.* **343**, 733–735 (2014).
- 508 7. EPA. Oil and Natural Gas Sector: Reconsideration of Certain Provisions of New Source
  509 Performance Standards, Final Rule, 40 CFR Part 60. (2013).
  510 http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-09-23/pdf/2013-22010.pdf
- 511 8. Allen, D. T. et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110, 17768–73 (2013).
- API/ANGA. Characterizing Pivotal Sources of Methane Emissions from Natural Gas
   Production: Summary and Analysis of API and ANGA Survey Responses. (2012).
- 514 10. Venkatesh, A., Jaramillo, P., Griffin, W. M. & Matthews, H. S. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45, 8182–8189 (2011).

- 516 11. Jiang, M. et al. Environ. Res. Lett. 6, 034014 (2011).
- 517 12. Gage, B. D. & Driskill, D. L. Analyses of natural gases 1917-2007. (2008).
- 518 13. Simpson, I. J. et al. Nature **488**, 490–494 (2012).
- 519 14. Aydin, M. et al. Nature 476, 198–201 (2011).
- 520 15. Levin, I. et al. Nature 486, E3–E4 (2012).
- Miller, J. B. The carbon isotopic composition of atmospheric methane and its constraint
  on the global methane budget. In *Stable Isot. Biosph. Interact.* (Flanagan, L. B.,
  Ehleringer, J. R. & Pataki, D. E.) 288–310 (Elsevier, 2005).
- 524 17. Kirschke, S. et al. Nat. Geosci. 6, 813–823 (2013).

Schwietzke, S., Griffin, W. M. & Matthews, H. S. Global bottom-up fossil fuel fugitive
methane and ethane emissions inventory for atmospheric modeling. *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* (2014). Accepted.

- 528 19. ESRL. CarbonTracker-CH4 documentation. (2013).
   529 http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/carbontracker-ch4/documentation obs.html#ct doc
- 530 20. Prinn, R. G., Huang, J., Weiss, R. F. & Cunnold, D. M. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 32, 2–5
  531 (2005).
- 532 21. Prather, M. J., Holmes, C. D. & Hsu, J. Geophys. Res. Lett. 39, (2012).
- 533 22. Naik, V. et al. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 13, 5277–5298 (2013).
- 534 23. Voulgarakis, A. et al. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 13, 2563–2587 (2013).
- 535 24. Rudolph, J. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 100, 11369–11381 (1995).
- 536 25. Hartmann, D. L. *et al.* Observations: Atmosphere and Surface. In *Clim. Chang. 2013*537 *Phys. Sci. Basis. Contrib. Work. Gr. I to Fifth Assess. Rep. Intergov. Panel Clim. Chang.*538 (Stocker, T. F. et al.), (Cambridge University Press, 2014).
- 539 26. Krol, M. et al. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 5, 417–432 (2005).
- 540 27. Bruhwiler, L. *et al.* CarbonTracker-CH4: An assimilation system for estimating emissions
  541 of atmospheric methane. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* (2013). Submitted.
- 542 28. Fung, I., Prather, M., John, J., Lerner, J. & Matthews, E. J. Geophys. Res. 96, 13033–
  543 13065 (1991).

544 545	29.	Lassey, K. R., Lowe, D. C. & Manning, M. R. <i>Global Biogeochem. Cycles</i> 14, 41–49 (2000).
546 547	30.	Sriskantharajah, S. <i>et al. Tellus B; Vol 64</i> (2012). http://www.tellusb.net/index.php/tellusb/article/view/18818
548	31.	Schoell, M. Am. Assoc. Pet. Geol. Bull. 67, 2225-2238 (1983).
549 550	32.	Michel, S. E. Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research (INSTAAR), University of Colorado, Boulder. <i>Personal communication</i> . (2014).
551	33.	Miller, J. B. et al. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 107, ACH 11-1-ACH 11-15 (2002).
552 553 554	34.	EIA. International Energy Statistics. (2013). http://www.eia.gov/cfapps/ipdbproject/iedindex3.cfm?tid=2&pid=38&aid=12&cid=region s&syid=1980&eyid=2011&unit=BKWH
555 556	35.	Mikaloff Fletcher, S. E., Tans, P. P., Bruhwiler, L. M., Miller, J. B. & Heimann, M. <i>Global Biogeochem. Cycles</i> <b>18</b> , GB4004 (2004).
557	36.	Bousquet, P. et al. Nature 443, 439–43 (2006).
558	37.	Chen, YH. & Prinn, R. G. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 111, (2006).
559	38.	Wang, J. S. et al. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 18, (2004).
560 561 562	39.	IPCC. Climate Change 2001: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Chapter 6. (2001).
563	40.	Wuebbles, D. J. & Hayhoe, K. Earth-Science Rev. 57, 177-210 (2002).
564	41.	Ridgwell, A. J., Marshall, S. J. & Gregson, K. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 13, 59 (1999).
565	42.	Bergamaschi, P. et al. J. Geophys. Res. 112, 1–26 (2007).
566 567	43.	Janssens-Maenhout, G. et al. EDGAR-HTAP: a harmonized gridded air pollution emission dataset based on national inventories. (2012).
568	44.	Matthews, E., Fung, I. & Lerner, J. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 5, 3 (1991).
569 570	45.	Giglio, L., Van Der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Collatz, G. J. & Kasibhatla, P. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 6, 957–974 (2006).
571	46.	Van Der Werf, G. R. et al. Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss. 6, 3175-3226 (2006).

572	47.	GFED. Global Fire Emissions Database. (2013). http://www.globalfiredata.org/
573	48.	Elvidge, C. D. et al. Energies 2, 595-622 (2009).
574 575	49.	Elvidge, C. D., Baugh, K. E., Ziskin, D., Anderson, S. & Ghosh, T. Estimation of Gas Flaring Volumes Using NASA MODIS Fire Detection Products. (2011).
576 577 578	50.	IPCC. 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Prepared by the National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme. Agric. For. Other L. Use 4, (IGES, 2006).
579 580	51.	EPA. Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990 – 2011. (2013). http://www.epa.gov/climatechange/ghgemissions/usinventoryreport.html
581 582	52.	Wilson, D., Fanjoy, J. & Billings, R. Gulfwide Emission Inventory Study for the Regional Haze and Ozone Modeling Effort. (2004).
583	53.	Wilson, D. et al. Year 2008 Gulfwide Emission Inventory Study. (2010).
584 585	54.	EPA. Reducing Methane Emissions From Coal Mines in China: The Potential for Coalbed Methane Development. (1996).
586	55.	CIRI. China Coal Industry Yearbook 2009. (2011).
587 588	56.	EPA. State Inventory and Projection Tool. (2012). http://www.epa.gov/statelocalclimate/resources/tool.html
589	57.	Fung, I., Matthews, E. & Lerner, J. Abstr. Pap. Am. Chem. Soc. 193, 6-GEOC (1987).
590	58.	Kaplan, J. O. Geophys. Res. Lett. 29, 3-6 (2002).
591	59.	Etiope, G. & Ciccioli, P. Science (80 ). 323, 478 (2009).
592	60.	Nisbet, E. G., Dlugokencky, E. J. & Bousquet, P. Sci. 343, 493-495 (2014).
593	61.	EIA. U.S. Energy Information Administration. (2014). www.eia.gov
594	62.	Montzka, S. A. et al. Science 331, 67-69 (2011).
595 596	63.	Dlugokencky, E. J., Nisbet, E. G., Fisher, R. & Lowry, D. Philos. Trans. R. Soc Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 369, 2058–2072 (2011).
597 598	64.	Houweling, S., Kaminski, T., Dentener, F., Lelieveld, J. & Heimann, M. J. Geophys. Res. <b>104,</b> 26137–26160 (1999).

599 600	65.	Dlugokencky, E. J., Steele, L. P., Lang, P. M. & Masarie, K. A. J. Geophys. Res. 100, 23103 (1995).
601	66.	Masarie, K. A. & Tans, P. P. J. Geophys. Res. 100, 11593 (1995).
602 603	67.	NETL. Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Inventory of Natural Gas Extraction, Delivery and Electricity Production. (2011).
604	68.	Weber, C. L. & Clavin, C. Environ. Sci. Technol. Technol. 46, 5688-5695 (2012).
605	69.	Burnham, A. et al. Environ. Sci. Technol. 46, 619–627 (2012).
606 607	70.	Alvarez, R. A., Pacala, S. W., Winebrake, J. J., Chameides, W. L. & Hamburg, S. P. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.</i> <b>109,</b> 6435–40 (2012).
608 609 610	71.	Myhre, G. <i>et al.</i> Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing. In <i>Clim. Chang. 2013</i> <i>Phys. Sci. Basis. Contrib. Work. Gr. I to Fifth Assess. Rep. Intergov. Panel Clim. Chang.</i> (Stocker, T. F. et al.) (Cambridge University Press, 2014).
611 612	72.	Jaramillo, P., Griffin, W. M. & Matthews, H. S. <i>Environ. Sci. Technol.</i> <b>41</b> , 6290–6296 (2007).
613 614	73.	Dienst, C. et al. Treibhausgasemissionen des russischen Exportpipeline System – Ergebnisse und Hochrechnungen empirischer Untersuchungen in Russland. (2004).

- 615
- 616 **TOC/Abstract art**

